Students Studying Sleep: Chronotype and Sleep Quality During Remote and In-Person Activities in Academe



Costin, G.^{1, 2}, Jorgensen, M.¹, Wileman, S.^{1,3}, Havel, A.^{1,3}, Wing, S.^{1,2}, Creti, L.^{2,4}, Bailes, S.^{2,4}, Libman, E.^{2,4}, Vasseur, A.^{1,2}, Ereshchenko, D.^{1,3}, Vo, C¹, & Fichten, C.^{1,2,3,4} ¹Adaptech Research Network ²McGill University ³Dawson College ⁴Jewish General Hospital

INTRODUCTION

- Sleep can be affected by different factors in an academic context:
 - COVID-19 remote activities
 - Return to in-person activities
 - Chronotype
- Lack of research on chronotype and COVID-19

Research question:

What role has chronotype played in affecting sleep quality during the (COVID-19) and in-person academic activities?

METHODS

65 participants from Dawson College:

- 22 teachers (10 with a disability, 12 without a disability)
- 21 non-teaching staff (10 with a disability, 11 without a disability)
- 22 students (15 with a disability, 7 without a disability)

Participants completed:

- Reduced Morningness-Eveningness Questionnaire
 - 3 chronotypes (range of scores: 4 to 25)
 - Morningness (n = 21, range of scores = 18-25)
 - Intermediate (n = 28, range of scores = 12-17)
 - Eveningness (n =16, range of scores = 4-11)
- Two questions on sleep quality:
 - On a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being very poor and 10 being very overall, what was the quality of your sleep during your last ren learning/working/teaching semester?
 - On a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being very poor and 10 being very overall, what was the quality of your sleep during your last inlearning/working/teaching semester?

CONCLUSION

- Individuals with morningness and intermediate chronotypes repo better sleep quality than individuals with an eveningness chronoty
 - This finding aligns with previous research.
- Participants generally slept significantly better remotely.

Implications:

Learning, teaching and working remotely could be beneficial for s

	 How did the COVID-19 pandemic affect the sleep quality There was no significant difference in sleep quality b Generally, individuals slept better remotely than in-p Sleep Quality of Adults and Sturg
e remote	7.5 7 6.5 6.5 6.5 5 4.5 4 Remote Period
	 Did disability status impact the sleep quality of post-seconand in-person activities of COVID-19? There was no significant difference in sleep quality be disabilities (p = 0.23). Participants slept better remotely than in-person (p < Sleep Quality During Remote and I
	8 7.5 7 6.5 6.5 6 6 9 9 5 5 5 4.5 4
y good <i>,</i> note	Remote Period Did chronotype impact the sleep quality of post-seconda in-person activities of COVID-19?
y good, person	 Individuals with morningness and intermediate chron better than individuals with an eveningness chronoty
	Sleep Quality Based on Chronotype During Remote and In
orted type.	7.5 7 6.5 6 6 5 5 4.5 4 Remote Period Period of Time
sleep.	



RESULTS

- of students and adults during remote and in-person activities? between adults and students (p = 0.05).
- person (p < 0.05).

Idents During Remote and In-Person Periods



Period of Time

In-Person Period

ondary students, faculty and non-teaching staff during the remote

etween individuals with disabilities and individuals without

